B 104 A (Rev. 8/99)	OCEEDING s on Reverse)	SHEET		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (For Court Use Only)		
PLAINTIFFS Oksana Lytvyno Address		John A. Ta	gliapietra	Laura Smith		
11280 Vista Sor San Diego, CA	e, Suite P-30	6 P.O. Box 6 Powav. CA			1275 Green Orchard Pl. Encinitas. CA 92024	
ATTORNEYS (Firm Na	Number)	ATTORNEYS (i	f known)			
Dennis D. Burn 7855 Ivanhoe A La Jolla, CA 92		Thomas La 7777 Alvai La Mesa, G	rado Rd. #42		Steven M. Bishop 591 Camino De La Reina San Diego, CA 92108	
PARTY (Check one bo	ox only) 🔲 1 U.S. P	LAINTIFF	2 U.S. DEFENDANT	■ 3 U.S	S. NOT A PARTY	
CAUSE OF ACTION	(Write a brief statement of cau	se of action, includ	ing all U.S. statutes involved	i)		
Complaint for I U.S.C. Section	Non-Dischargeabilit 727 and Declaratory	Relief	NATURE OF SUIT	Case Debt only) Judg	ection to Discharge Under * # : 06-90270-JHAD * cor.: LYTVYNENKO * john HARGROVE * toer: AD	
			ke an order of confirmation of 11 or Chapter 13 Plan	ofa File Depu Rece	Filette foregrain 26 c 2006 by 15 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	
	ne validity, priority, or lien or other interest in		To determine the dischargeability of a debt 11 U.S.C. § 523		bankruptcy court	
458 To obtain a the interest owner in pr	434 To obta equitab	in an injunction or other le relief	498 Other (specify) tion or other Declaratory Relief			
■ 424 To object o U.S.C. § 72	r to revoke a discharge 11 27	or interes	ordinate any allowed claim est except where such nation is provided in a Plan			
ORIGIN OF 1 Original 2 Removed 4 Reinstate PROCEEDING Proceeding Proceeding or Reopened (Check one box only)			Reopened from	ransferred Another kruptcy Court	ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	
DEMAND N	EAREST THOUSAND 190,000	OTHER RELIEF	SOUGHT		☐ JURY DEMAND	
	BANKRUPTC	Y CASE IN WH	ICH THIS ADVERSAR	Y PROCEEDII	NG ARISES	
NAME OF DEBTOR John A. Taglia	pietra	BANK! 05-13	RUPTCY CASE NUMBER 3698-H7			
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING Southern District		DIVISIONAL OFFICE			NAME OF JUDGE John J. Hargrove	
		RELATED ADV	ERSARY PROCEEDI	NG (IF ANY)		
PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT		ADV	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER	
DISTRICT		DIVISIONAL OFF	FICE	NAME OF JUE	OF JUDGE	
FILING FEE (Check one box only)	FEE ATTACH	ED 🗆	FEE NOT REQUIRED		FEE IS DEFERRED	-
DATE April 26, 2006	DENNIS D. B	URNS	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY (OR PLA	AINTIFF)	_

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET (Reverse Side)

This cover sheet must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney) and submitted to the Clerk of the Court upon the filing of a complaint initiating an adversary proceeding.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it **do not** replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of the Court to initiate the docket sheet and to prepare necessary indices and statistical records. A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the Clerk of the Court for each complaint filed. The form is largely self-explanatory.

Parties. The names of the parties to the adversary proceeding **exactly** as they appear on the complaint. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys if known. Following the heading "Party," check the appropriate box indicating whether the United States is a party named in the complaint.

Cause of Action. Give a brief description of the cause of action including all federal statutes involved. For example, "Complaint seeking damages for failure to disclose information, Consumer Credit Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1601 et seq.," or "Complaint by trustee to avoid a transfer of property by the debtor, 11 U.S.C. § 544."

Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. Only one box should be checked. If the cause fits more than one category of suit, select the most definitive.

Origin of Proceedings. Check the appropriate box to indicate the origin of the case:

- 1. Original Proceeding.
- 2. Removed from a State or District Court.
- 4. Reinstated or Reopened.
- 5. Transferred from Another Bankruptcy Court.

Demand. On the next line, state the dollar amount demanded in the complaint in thousands of dollars. For \$1,000, enter "1," for \$10,000, enter "10," for \$10,000, enter "100," if \$1,000,000, enter "1000." If \$10,000,000 or more, enter "9999." If the amount is less than \$1,000, enter "0001." If no monetary demand is made, enter "XXXX." If the plaintiff is seeking non-monetary relief, state the relief sought, such as injunction or foreclosure of a mortgage.

Bankruptcy Case In Which This Adversary Proceeding Arises. Enter the name of the debtor and the docket number of the bankruptcy case from which the proceeding now being filed arose. Beneath, enter the district and divisional office where the case was filed and the name of the presiding judge.

Related Adversary Proceedings. State the names of the parties and six-digit adversary proceeding number from any adversary proceeding concerning the same two parties or the same property currently pending in any bankruptcy court. On the next line, enter the district where the related case is pending and the name of the presiding judge.

Filing Fee. Check one box. The fee must be paid upon filing unless the plaintiff meets one of the following exceptions. The fee is not required if the plaintiff is the United States government or the debtor. If the plaintiff is the trustee or a debtor in possession and there are no liquid funds in the estate, the filing fee may be deferred until there are funds in the estate. (In the event no funds are ever recovered for the estate, there will be no fee.) There is no fee for adding a party after the adversary proceeding has been commenced.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the right of the last line of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is *pro se*, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

The name of the signatory must be printed in the box to the left of the signature. The date of the signing must be indicated in the box on the far left of the last line.

Case # : 06-90270-JHAD Dennis D. Burns, Esq. 1 Debtor.: LYTVYNENKO Law Offices of Dennis D. Burns Judge..: JOHN HARGROVE 7855 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 420 2 Chapter: AD La Jolla, California 92037 Filed : April 26, 2006 15:30:17 Telephone: (858) 551-1530 Deputy : K DURAN Facsimile: (858) 551-1520 Receipt: 191044 Amount : \$250.00 4 Attorneys for Oksana Lytvynenko 5 6 7 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 CASE NO. 05-13698-H7 In re 10 ADVERSARY CASE NO. John A. Tagliapietra, 11 Debtor, COMPLAINT FOR NON-12 DISCHARGEABILITY OF DEBT UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 523; OBJECTION TO 13 DISCHARGE UNDER 11 U.S.C. Oksana Lytvynenko, § 727 AND DECLARATORY RELIEF 14 Plaintiff, 15 vs. 16 John A. Tagliapietra and Laura Smith, Does 1 - 20, 17 inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19 20 Plaintiff, an individual, by and through her attorney, DENNIS D. 21 her debt Court to determine that BURNS, requests this 22 Debtor/Defendant, John A. Tagliapietra, is excepted from discharge 23 under Bankruptcy Code Section 523 and/or to deny the Debtor's 24 discharge pursuant to Section 727 and that the declaratory relief as 25 set out herein be granted against Defendant Laura Smith. 26 /// 27 ///

JURISDICTION AND PARTIES

1

2

3

5

6

7 |

9

11

12

14

17

18

19

21

22

25

- Plaintiff, Oksana Lytvynenko (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is an individual, is a citizen of the Ukraine, has permanent resident status, resides lawfully in the United States with her primary residence located within the County of San Diego, State of California.
- Debtor/Defendant John A. Tagliapietra (hereinafter 2. "Tagliapietra") is an individual whose primary residence is located 8 within the County of San Diego, State of California.
- Defendant, Laura Smith (hereinafter "Smith") 3. 10 | individual whose primary residence is located within the County of San Diego, State of California.
- This is an adversary action brought pursuant to Federal 13 Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure Rules 4007 and 7001.
- This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 15 U.S.C. §157 and 1334 and General Order 312(D) of United States District Court Southern District of California.
 - This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §157. 6.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- On October 14, 2005, Tagliapietra filed his voluntary Chapter 7 petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of California (hereinafter the "Bankruptcy Case").
- This is an adversary proceeding for declaratory relief and 23 to determine the dischargeability of the debts owed by Tagliapietra 24 to Plaintiff.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes that on or about January $26 \parallel 2002$ through on or about June 2005, Tagliapietra caused Plaintiff to 27 advance to Tagliapietra for the purpose of constructing a residence 28 on the real property, commonly referred to as 14933 Huntington Gate

1 Drive, Poway, California 92064, (hereafter "Real Property") funds in excess of \$190,000 for extensions, renewal, and forbearance of credit thereon. Various promissory notes were issued and exchanged in said period between the parties until on or about June, 2005.

- The parties were married on August 31, 2003.
- Oksana made said advances based on Tagliapietra's 11. 7 representations and omissions that:
- All of said funds would be used on making improvements 9 to Real Property, that Tagliapietra alleged he held sole title to, so as to enhance the value of said Real Property;
- Plaintiff would be placed on title once the parties 12 were married and the advances would be secured against said Real 13 Property until title to said Real Property could be transferred to 14 Plaintiff;
- Tagliapietra alleged at all times, that he was the 16 sole owner of the Real Property and, as a result, all equity in said 17 property created by said improvement or existing prior thereto was 18 vested in Tagliapietra, her soon-to-be-husband;
- Plaintiff would profit from said advances of funds by d. 20 being paid interest or given a one-half interest in the Real 21 | Property that exceeded the value of the advances made plus interest;
- Tagliapietra omitted to divulge that at the time said 23 advances were made, forborne or extended, that he transferred title 24 to another woman with whom he was having a personal and romantic 25 relationship and who had also lent him money;
- Funds requested of Plaintiff would be utilized to f. 27 cause construction improvements on Real Property residence of 28 Tagliapietra;

4

5

6

8

11

15

19

22

- Funds were totally secure at all times as lien 5 against the Real Property;
- If Plaintiff would forego filing of formal trust deed i. 7 on Real Property, Tagliapietra would secure a loan to retire the 8 indebtedness to Plaintiff;
- Tagliapietra at all times was the sole owner of the 10 Real Property and held title exclusively in his own name;
- Tagliapietra was the obligor on the funds borrowed by 12 | Plaintiff to make the investment in Tagliapietra's Real Property;
- Plaintiff's interest and other costs of her loan from 14 the foreign entity utilized to make the investment in Tagliapietra's 15 Real Property would be secured in full by the associated equity 16 increases in the Real Property created by the improvements made to 17 the Real Property from Plaintiff's advances;
- Tagliapietra failed to advise Plaintiff that he was 19 continuously and regularly depleting the equity in Real Property 20 that was the subject matter of Plaintiff's investment by borrowing 21 large sums of money against the Real Property and transferring 22 ownership to and fro between himself and Smith, while claiming to be 23 an unmarried man;
- Tagliapietra concealed his personal and business 25 relationship with Smith at the time the investments by Plaintiff 26 were made and failed to reveal Smith's alleged interest in the Real 27 Property;

24

Plaintiff;

3

6

9

11

13

3

7

9

14

16

- Tagliapietra and Smith were defrauding banking and lending institutions as to their interest in the Real Property;
- Tagliapietra had a "confidential agreement" with Smith regarding her alleged ownership of the Real Property which was 5 unrecorded and affected what, if any, ownership she possessed in the 6 Real Property.
 - Tagliapietra failed to advise Plaintiff that he was a q. convicted felon on a matter involving conversion of funds;
- Tagliapietra concealed his financial condition from 10 Plaintiff at all times herein relevant and continually asked 11 Plaintiff to delay formalizing her interest in the Real Property and 12 to forestall collection of her debt while Tagliapietra obtained 13 refinancing to eliminate Tagliapietra's obligation to Plaintiff;
- Tagliapietra transferred the Real Property without 15 reasonable or adequate considerations to Smith on three occasions;
- Tagliapietra, after discovery of the aforesaid 17 ||fraudulent misrepresentations and omissions by Plaintiff, advised 18 Plaintiff that Smith would also formally secure the obligation owed 19 to Plaintiff by way of a deed of trust. However, when a document 20 was drafted to secure said obligation, Tagliapietra forcibly 21 attempted to take the document away from Plaintiff and as a result 22 was arrested for spousal abuse and Plaintiff was forced to record 23 said document without the signature of all parties on title to the 24 Real Property.
- Tagliapietra has failed to list his ownership of three 26 vehicles with an admitted value in excess of \$32,500.00. 27 |vehicles remain in Tagliapietra's possession and Plaintiff is 28 informed and believes that title to said vehicles remains in

- Tagliapietra has failed to disclose his "confidential $5 \parallel$ agreement" with creditor, Smith, affecting what percentage of ownership she allegedly possesses in the Real Property.
- Tagliapietra has failed to list in his schedules and c. 8 statements his investment account at Commerce Bank.
- Tagliapietra has failed to list in his Schedules of d. 10 Statements the bank account he holds or held at Bank of America.
- Tagliapietra has failed to disclose in his statements e. 12 and schedules the source of funds and amounts paid to his attorney, 13 Thomas Lockhart, for representation in this bankruptcy proceeding.
- Tagliapietra has failed to disclose in his statements 15 and schedules his membership and deposit with an exclusive health 16 club.
- Tagliapietra has failed to disclose the award of funds q. 18 from an arbitration proceeding in excess of \$50,000.00 on his 19 schedules and statements.
- During every refinance of the Real Property, after h. 21 August 2003, Tagliapietra represented himself to the lending 22 institutions as an unmarried man when, in truth and in fact, he was 23 married to Plaintiff.
- The Real Property in California has been listed as exempt 25 by Tagliapietra as a head of household homestead. On the date of 26 the filing of his petition, Tagliapietra was not residing on said 27 Real Property and was not the head of a household as that term is 28 defined in Cal. Code of Civil Procedure §704 et seq.

6

7

9

11

14

17

20

Tagliapietra listed said Real Property as having a fair 14. market value of \$1,900,000.00, when in truth and in fact, said Real 3 Property has a fair market value of \$2,200,000.00, as of the date of the petition.

CLAIMS AGAINST DEBTOR / DEFENDANT

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of 11 U.S.C. §523 (a) (2) (A))

- 15. Plaintiff repleads, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 10 14 herein.
- 16 . Tagliapietra, through false pretenses, false 12 | representations, and/or actual fraud, obtained money, property, 13 services, or an extension, renewal or refinancing of credit in sums 14 according to proof at trial. In the absence of said false pretenses, and/or actual fraud Tagliapietra, by 15 false representations, 16 Tagliapietra would not have been able to obtain said services or funds 17 from Plaintiff.
- 17. The conduct of Defendants Tagliapietra and Smith violates 11 19 United States Code §523 (a)(2)(A) and, therefore, Tagliapietra's 20 | indebtedness to Plaintiff constitutes a nondischargable debt.
- As a direct result of Tagliapietra's conduct, Plaintiff 22 suffered damages by being deprived of over \$190,000 in funds, which 23 she had borrowed at a rate of 12% interest per annum, according to 24 proof at time of trial.

```
25 || / / /
```

1

4

5

6

7

8

11

18

21

26 | / / /

27 ///

28 ///

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of 11 U.S.C. 523(a)(4))

- Plaintiff repleads, realleges, and incorporates herein by 4 reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 18 herein. 5 |
- Tagliapietra held a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff 20. 7 as a result of his marriage to her and their premarital business deals.
 - Tagliapietra committed fraud and defalcation while acting in a fiduciary capacity and embezzlement and larceny.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(6))

- Plaintiff repleads, realleges, and incorporates herein by 14 reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 15 21 herein.
- Tagliapietra willfully and maliciously injured 17 Plaintiff.
- The conduct of Defendants Tagliapietra and Smith violate 11 19 U.S.C §523(a)(6) and, therefore, the indebtedness of Tagliapietra to 20 the Plaintiff constitutes a non-dischargeable debt.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of 11 U.S.C. §727(a)(2)(A))

- Plaintiff repleads, realleges, and incorporates herein by 24 |reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 24 herein.
- 26. Tagliapietra, with the intent to hinder, delay or defraud 26 Plaintiff, has transferred, removed, destroyed, mutilated or concealed 27

1

2

3

6

8

9

11

12

13

16

18

21

22

23

1 Plaintiff's personal and Real Property within 1 year before filing of the petition.

27. The conduct of Tagliapietra violates 11 U.S.C §727(a)(2)(A) and, therefore, constitutes a denial of discharge.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of 11 U.S.C. §727(a)(4)(A))

- Plaintiff repleads, realleges, and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 27 herein.
- Tagliapietra knowingly and fraudulently made a false oath or account.
- The conduct of Tagliapietra violates 11 U.S.C §727(a)(4) 13 and, therefore, constitutes a denial of discharge.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of 11 U.S.C. §727(a)(4)(C))

- Plaintiff repleads, realleges, and incorporates herein by 17 reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 18 through 30 herein.
- 32. Tagliapietra have knowingly and fraudulently, in connection 20 with the case, gave, offered, received, or attempted to obtain 21 money, property, or advantage, or a promise of money, property, or 22 |advantage, for acting or for barring to act.
- The conduct of Tagliapietra violates 11 U.S.C. § 24 | 527(a)(4)(C) and, therefore, the indebtedness of Defendants 25 Tagliapietra and Smith to the Plaintiff constitutes a non-26 dischargeable debt.
- 27 1///

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

19

23

28 ///

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of 11 U.S.C. §727(a)(3))

- Plaintiff repleads, realleges, and incorporations herein 4 by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 33 herein.
- Tagliapietra has concealed and falsified books, documents, 35. 7 ∥records, and papers from which the Tagliapietra's financial condition might be ascertained by failure to disclose assets through 9 his claimed Homestead exemption, under his stated Real Property, $10 \parallel$ failed to include personal property assets of which he has possessed 11 and has included under creditors on his Petition as to non-existent 12 debts.
 - 36. The conduct of Tagliapietra violates 11 U.S.C §727(a)(3)(A) and, therefore, constitutes grounds for a denial of discharge.

EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

[Determination of Dischargeability of

Debt Under 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(5) [Spousal Support Obligations]]

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference paragraphs 1 through 36 of this complaint as though set forth fully herein.
- On November 29, 2005, an order was issued by the Superior 21 ||Court of California, County of San Diego, Family Law Division (hereinafter "Family Court"), setting temporary spousal support to 23 be paid to Plaintiff from Tagliapietra in the monthly amount of \$3,000.00. Plaintiff has received \$4,000.00 to date
- 39. As of the Petition date, Tagliapietra was in default of 26 his Spousal Support Order owed to Plaintiff and was in arrears by an amount not less than \$8,000.00 post-filing.

28

25

1

2

3

5

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

41. The debt owed by Tagliapietra to Plaintiff is non-5 dischargeable under 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(5).

NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Relief)

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference paragraphs 1 through 42 of this complaint as though set forth fully herein.
- Smith has maintained a personal "romantic" relationship 12 | with Tagliapietra during all relevant time periods in which funds 13 were advance by Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed and believes as a 14 result of Tagliapietra's testimony under oath at the creditor's 15 hearing and other legal proceedings that:
- Smith has aided and abetted Tagliapietra in committing a. 17 || fraud, embezellment and larceny on the creditors of this estate, 18 both directly and indirectly;
- Smith has taken title to the Real Property in question 20 without payment of adequate consideration in various percentages 21 |ranging from 100 percent to her presently claimed interest of 50 22 | percent with the right of survival;
- Smith has according to the record herein participated in 24 acquiring loans for Tagliapietra under false pretenses and committed 25 ||fraud on the various lending institutions making loans against the 26 Real Property at issue herein;
- Smith has entered into a written unrecorded "Confidential 28 Agreement" which affects her alleged right, title and interest in

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

19

23

11

14

20

21

1 the Real Property and has endeavored to keep such interest secreted 2 from legitimate creditors of the estate and attempting to unlawfully 3 shield the true amount of Tagliapietra's equity interest in the Real 4 Property from administration by this Court;

- Smith has made payments on behalf of Tagliapietra during 6 the pendency of this proceeding and allowed Tagliapietra to claim 7 said expenses on his income and expense disclosures filed with his 8 schedules and statements while in truth and in fact Smith is the 9 sole obligor under the primary loan obligation encumbering the Real 10 Property. Smith is solely responsible for that obligation up to and including all of her interest, if any, in the Real Property without deduction from the interest held by Tagliapietra's bankruptcy 13 lestate:
- Smith has asserted, in addition to her alleged rights to a 15 one-half interest in the Real Property, a \$140,000.00 unsecured 16 |obligation against the estate as a direct result of the transactions 17 | in which she allegedly took title to the Real Property without 18 adequate consideration all in a direct effort to deprive creditors, including Plaintiff from the several hundred thousands of dollars in equity that exists in this estate.
- 44. A controversy and dispute has arisen between Plaintiff on 22 the one hand and Defendants Tagliapietra and Smith on the other, 23 wherein: (1) Plaintiff maintains that the deeds allegedly creating 24 an interest in the Real Property by Smith are a legal nullity and therefore do not constitute an interest of any kind upon 26 Tagliapietra's Residence; that Smith did not pay adequate 27 consideration for the alleged interest she maintains; that she is 28 solely responsible for the primary obligation against the Real

1 Property; that she is not an unsecured creditor of this estate; that 2 the "Confidential Agreement" she entered into with Tagliapietra 3 cannot shield this estates interest in the Real Property; and (2) 4 Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon that information 5 and belief alleges, that Defendants Tagliapietra and Smith, and each $6 \parallel \text{of them}$, dispute this allegation, and maintain that the Deeds 7 constitute valid and enforceable interest in the Tagliapietra's 8 Residence which consume the equity in that residence; that Smith is 9 an unsecured creditor of this estate; that the "Confidential 10 Agreement" is binding against the estate whatever it's terms and; 11 that she is not the sole obligor as to her alleged interest, if any, 12 | independent from the interest held by the bankruptcy estate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays as follows:

- 1. On the First Claim for Relief for judgment, that the 15 | indebtedness of Tagliapietra to plaintiff constitutes a 16 nondischargable debt pursuant to 11 U.S.C § 523(a)(2)(A) in an 17 amount to be determined at trial.
- 2. On the Second Claim for Relief for judgment, that the 19 indebtedness of Tagliapietra to plaintiff constitutes a non 20 dischargeable debt pursuant to 11 U.S.C § 523 (a)(4) in an amount to 21 be determined at trial.
- On the Third Claim for Relief for judgment, that the 23 indebtedness of Tagliapietra to plaintiff constitutes a non 24 dischargeable debt pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523 (a) (6).
- 4. On the Fourth Claim for Relief for judgment, that the 26 | indebtedness of Tagliapietra to plaintiff constitutes a denial of 27 discharge pursuant to 11 U.S.C § 727(a)(2)(A).

13

14

18

22

- 1 2 indebtedness of Tagliapietra to plaintiff constitutes grounds for a
- 4
- 7
- 10

- 14

- 23
- 24
- 27
- 28

3 denial of discharge pursuant to 11 U.S.C § 727 (a)(4)(A)). 6. On the Sixth Claim for Relief for judgment, that the

5. On the Fifth Claim for Relief for judgment, that the

- 5 indebtedness of Tagliapietra to plaintiff constitutes grounds for a 6 denial a denial of discharge pursuant to 11 U.S.C § 727 (a)(4)(C).
- On the Seventh Claim for Relief for judgment, that the 8 | indebtedness of Tagliapietra to plaintiff constitutes grounds for a denial a denial of discharge pursuant to 11 U.S.C § 727 (a)(3).
- 8. On the Eighth Claim for Relief for judgment, that the 11 | indebtedness of Tagliapietra to Plaintiff constitutes non-12 dischargeable debt pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(5) in an amount to 13 be proven at trial.
- On the Ninth Claim for Relief of judgment, that the court 15 declare that the deeds allegedly creating an interest in the Real 16 Property by Smith are a legal nullity and therefore do not 17 constitute an interest of any kind upon Tagliapietra's Residence; 18 that Smith did not pay adequate consideration for the alleged 19 interest she maintains; that she is not an unsecured creditor of 20 this estate; that the "Confidential Agreement" she entered into with 21 Tagliapietra cannot shield this estates interest in the Real 22 Property.

ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION:

- For denial of discharge or, in the alternative, judgment 25 against Tagliapietra in the sum of an amount to be determined at 26 trial;
 - For attorneys' fees incurred herein;
 - For costs of suit incurred herein;

For punitive damages; and

5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem 3 proper.

4 DATED: April 26, 2006

LAW OFFICES OF DENNIS BURNS

Burns, Attorney for Plaintiff, Oksana Lytvynenko